DSL Group (#1)

- Kunle Olukotun
- Jim Larus
- Krste Asanovic
- Almadena Chtchelkanova
- Mark Oskin

Nature of applications

- Application mobility
 - Smooth transition between laptop, phone, office, coffee house
 - Security, trust
 - Rich input/output 3D display, gesture recognition
 - o Adaptable to resource differentiation

What are apps like?

- Big data crunch
- Ubiquitous machine learning
- More about enabling community
- Modeling (physics, people/economic dynamics)
- Augmented & virtual reality

Platforms

- Mobile phone

 Per-volume leader
- Laptop/desktop
- Cloud
- TV
- Car
 - o Special regulatory requirements

Design forces

- Energy
- Scaling
 - o 10T processors in the world
 - o 1TB non-volatile memory
 - o 10B people
 - o 1K cores per app
 - o 100 different device instances
 - o 10 different device classes
 - o 1nm CMOS?
- Distributiveness

Funding focus?

- Problems of commerce
- Problems of society
 - science
 - o health
 - $_{\circ}$ education
- They potentially converge

How to publish in ISCA in 2020

- Realistically, ISCA will remain a simulator's game
- Can the most interesting results come from elsewhere?
 - Needs solutions to another grand challenge: Enabling \$10K 1nm silicon prototypes
 - $_{\circ}\,\text{FPGA's}$ are a fallback
 - Shared infrastructure for FPGAs and system software

Missing Agendas

- Communication Seems a second class system in this group
 - on-chip, on-system, across-room, acrossglobe
- Storage Significant changes afoot • An "infinite" persistent memory?
- Kunle says what about non-Von Nuemann computing?

DSL

- A DSL enables a developer to pass more information to the system stack and architecture
- A DSL constrains the developer expression so that it limits what problems you have to solve
- DSLs have been successful: proof is in the pudding: OpenGL (Jim says DirectX), SQL, Matlab

Why is DSL in an architecture report?

- DSL's enable architects to find efficiencies
- Vertically integrated thinking
- Sounds nice, but designing a language is not child's play
 - Do we know what we are doing?
 No! So embed in existing modern languages

DSL Roadmap

- 5 years:
 - Better support in general purpose languages: multistage computation, supportive semantics
 - Environment for the washed masses to create their own DSLs
 - 2-3 prototypes of successful DSL's
- working with specific application domains
 10 years:
 - o A commercial environment to create DSLs
 - o Push-button hardware from DSL
 - o 10 prototypes of successful DSL's
- 15 years:
 - o 5 "as successful as SQL" languages

Successful DSL Attributes

- Provides an abstraction the developer is comfortable with
 - o e.g. sequential
 - Parallelism at a "natural" level (e.g. SQL)
- Performance that makes it worth it
- Available tools
- Measure success by adoption, a useless beautiful language is a failure

Random Thoughts

- Translation, protection needs a do-over
- New ideas not always amendable to old SW

DSL Group #1 - Day 2

Mark Oskin

Summary

- Research into domain specific languages (DSLs) has the potential to provide scalable performance and significant programmer efficiencies
- Requires a multidisciplinary collaboration between language designers, compilers, architects, and application domain experts

Motivation

- Enable scalable application performance via an efficient translation of Moore's Law into viable architectures
- DSLs have been vastly successful in the past at enabling scalable performance and increased programmer productivity
- · Majority of application domains not yet DSL'ified

Goals

- When any developer (from expert to novice)writes for application area X they turn to a widely popular, commercially supported, DSL for X first.
- Available competing hardware solutions for X providing scalable performance, suitable for mobile datacenter (if applicable) computing.
- DSL for area X enables scalable performance gains for the foreseeable future.

Research Agenda: Identifying DSL apps

- Many candidate application domains • Machine learning
 - o Speech recognition
 - Imagining
 - Graph-based computations
 - Media processing
 - currently trying to shove into GPUs

Research Agenda: Software

- DSL for creating DSLs
 - No sense starting from scratch each time
 - Cleaner semantics if done once
 Enables infrastructure re-use
 - $_{\rm o}$ Requires close collaboration between
 - language designers and compiler writers
- Compiler infrastructure enabling DSL research
 o Target for multicore
 - o Easily retargetable backend

Research Agenda: New DSLs

- Given an application domain

 Work closely with domain-experts, architects, and
 - compiler writers to create DSL and supporting architecture(s)
- Iterative process:
 - Understand domain
 - Design language
 - $_{\circ}$ Write application and compiler backend
 - Design architecture
 - ∘ Test
 - Repeat

Research Agenda: UI

- · Front end suitable for DSL creation and use
 - o Work with HCI and SE communities
 - o Focus on easy DSL design and use

- Research Agenda: Architecture
 Exploit semantics of DSL(s) to create efficient architectures

 Must provide 100X improvement (performance X power) over

 multicore
 - Performance must scale with Moore's law
- Understanding a single DSL in-depth and produce best-possible hardware
- · Work across layers seeking refinement
- o Innovation across processing, communication and storage architectures
- Look for commonalities across DSLs for synthesized architectures
- Need platform for experimentation and design o Must be efficient-enough on multicore from a programmers
 - perspective
- drive adoption from software
 Must be simple enough to experiment with on FPGAs/simple ASICs
 ground architecture research in reality

